

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

In re Marriage of VLASTA and
CLIFFORD K. DILLON.

2d Civil No. B214810
(Super. Ct. No. 1198088)
(Santa Barbara County)

VLASTA DILLON,

Respondent,

v.

CLIFFORD K. DILLON,

Appellant.

Clifford K. Dillon (husband) appeals, in pro. per., from a judgment of dissolution dividing the marital property between himself and Vlasta Dillon (wife). Husband challenges the trial court's award of child support, spousal support and attorneys fees. Wife has not filed a reply brief and is not a party to the appeal.

Husband filed a two-and-half page brief, listing the parties' assets and to whom they were awarded. He indicated that the parties stipulated to spousal support, and poses the question, "Why?" Husband alleges that the trial court allowed his attorney to withdraw, and asks us to conduct a de novo review. It is unclear whether he is asking us to review the facts underlying his attorney's representation, or those underlying the entire judgment.

Appellant's opening brief is devoid of references to the record, argument, or citation of authority. An appellate brief must "[s]upport any reference to a matter in the record by a citation to the volume and page number of the record where the matter appears." (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.204(a)(1)(C); *Durell v. Sharp Healthcare* (2010) 183 Cal.App.4th 1350, 1372.) We do not consider facts in the appellate record where there has been no citation in the brief. (*Dominguez v. Financial Indemnity Co.* (2010) 183 Cal.App.4th 388, 392, fn 2.) Nor are we required to review the record de novo to search for error or grounds to support the judgment. (*Ibid.*) Where a brief contains no legal argument with citation of authorities on the point made, we treat it as waived and pass on it without consideration. (*Trinkle v. California State Lottery* (2003) 105 Cal.App.4th 1401, 1413.)

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed. Appellant is to bear his costs on appeal.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

COFFEE, J.

We concur:

YEGAN, Acting P.J.

PERREN, J.

Timothy J. Staffel, Judge
Superior Court County of Santa Barbara

Clifford K. Dillon, in pro. per., for Appellant.

No appearance for Respondent.